CSCI-471 Professional Communications

Research Presentation Evaluation Form – Video Presentations

Your Name: Nikhil Raina

Speaker: Will Andrews Topic: Support Augmented Reality: Looking Beyond Performnce

# Instructions

You should have access to the videos you have been assigned to review for the class.

Do not compare this presentation to any other presentation. Evaluate each one independently. As you watch each video, be prepared to rate it on four categories: **Visuals, Content, Organization,** and **Presentation Style.** You will also provide an **Overall Assessment.** In each category, you will enter a number from 0 to 100 that represents your opinion for the given component. You will also have a short section to give commentary for each category. Numerical ranges and suggested meanings are:

95 – 100 Excellent or outstanding  
 85 – 94 Very good (minor improvements needed)  
 75 – 84 Good (more significant improvements needed)  
 50 – 74 Fair (barely adequate; major improvements needed)  
 0 – 49 Extremely poor (unacceptable)

Under each of the four categories are sets of *descriptors*. Each has a scale of “Strongly Agree” to “Strongly Disagree”; replace the  with an ‘X’ based on how well the performance adheres to the descriptor. Your selection for a descriptor should reinforce or inform your numerical rating of the category. You may also select ‘n/a’ if a descriptor does not apply to the current presentation. Generally, checking “Agree” or “Strongly Agree” would signify something positive, well done, or that added to the video; checking “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” would signify something neutral; checking “No opinion” would indicate you were neutral with respect to that dimension of the talk. There is also a space in each section where you can leave feedback specific to that category. Under your overall assessment, there are additional questions to answer. Strive to make all of your feedback as helpful as possible.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Overall Assessment** |  | Score \_89.25\_/100 | |
| Did the presentation reflect adequate preparation? | | Yes | No |
|  | |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Visuals and Video** | | | | | | Score | \_94\_/100 |
| Strongly Disagree | Somewhat Disagree | No Opinion | Somewhat Agree | Strongly Agree | N/A | The Speaker’s... | Comments: The usage of the visuals, that is the images was done really well. Towards the end of the paper, there seems to be some audio disruption though. Doesn’t have too much of an issue, but can get annoying. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | visuals were relevant |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | visuals were complete |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | visuals were accurate |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | visuals were well integrated |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | visuals well-designed |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | visuals were easy to see |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | video quality was not distracting |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | audio quality was not distracting |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Content** | | | | | | Score | \_94\_/100 |
| Strongly Disagree | Somewhat Disagree | No Opinion | Somewhat Agree | Strongly Agree | N/A | The presentation had... | Comments: His explanation and the example he used was thorough and well structured. It was in-depth and gave a great insight with the significant use of the technology that is being talked about. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | sufficient content provided |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | appropriate explanations |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | sufficient examples provided |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | the right scope for audience |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Organization** | | | | | | Score | \_85\_/100 |
| Strongly Disagree | Somewhat Disagree | No Opinion | Somewhat Agree | Strongly Agree | N/A | The Speaker... | Comments: The points did seem summarized, however, there was a significant amount of “reading from the slides” than having just simple points to guide the explanation that would be done by the presenter. I think putting some pointers to hint on the context on the slides would have been a better approach than just reading of the slide. Otherwise, a pretty good layout of the content. |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | gave an outline or agenda |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | provided transitions between ideas |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | organized their content logically |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | summarized their main points |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | clearly introduced their topic |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | made good use of time |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | offered conclusions |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Presentation Style** | | | | | | Score | \_92\_/100 |
| Strongly Disagree | Somewhat Disagree | No Opinion | Somewhat Agree | Strongly Agree | N/A | The Speaker... | Comments: Presentation was done well by introducing a wide number of images to illustrate the points. There were a few ‘hiccups’ here and there, but I think that adds a human element to the presentation. I think there could be a better way to show the citation for the images though. Few images had hyperlinks. There should be a better format to follow in order to get this done. |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | Introduced themself |  |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | Dressed appropriately |  |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | ☐ | Avoided distracting mannerisms & fillers |  |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | ☐ | Appeared Enthusiastic |  |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | Paced the talk appropriately |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | ☐ | Used professional language |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | Appeared poised & confident |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | Avoided monotone delivery? |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | Spoke loud enough |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | Defined terms when needed |

|  |
| --- |
| What did you like most about this presentation?  I thoroughly enjoyed the example that was mentioned in order to illustrate the use of AR and how it can be very helpful. The use of a near real world scenario was helpful to show not only the use of AR but to also show the degree to which it can be used and how helpful it can actually be. Given that the technology around us is already advancing allowing us to be able to similar tasks, it was good to restate this extent to show the breadth of it use. |
|  |
| What is the most significant thing that this speaker could improve upon? |
| The presentation was well structured, However, articulating the points on the slides, thus allowing the presenter to explain them instead of just read from the slide is something that should be worked on. There were to many opportunities for the presenter to summarize the sentences on the slides to just simple bullet points. That would have been a good idea to talk about the entire presentation. |